

**MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**HAYSTACK OBSERVATORY**  
WESTFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01886  
Updated May 1, 2018

*Telephone: 617-715-5533*  
*Fax: 781-981-0590*

To: EDGES Group  
From: Alan E.E. Rogers  
Subject: Midband results from 2017\_329 to 2018\_043

A midband system was deployed at the MRO in November 2017. Unfortunately the strength of the noise source used in the 3-position switching did not provide adequate rejection of the ripples in the 50 m cable to the hut so that these ripples along with a higher noise level are present in the data.

Figure 1 shows the residual spectra with 5 physical terms removed and Figure 2 shows the results of a signature search with 5 physical terms plus a signature with  $\tau = 7$ . Despite the added noise and ripple the best fit signature is close to those obtained in the low band.

Added note: 1 May 2018

When the receiver was returned to ASU it was discovered that the voltage regulator which provides +12 V in the receiver had inadequate voltage margin to maintain 12 V when the input voltage drops to +16 V when in the antenna position of the switch cycle. The part number should have been LM340T-12 from Texas instruments which has a specific voltage margin of 2 volt so that it should maintain 12 V output for output voltage of more than 14 V. The incorrect voltage regulator was the major cause of the failure of the 3-position switch to cancel out the ripple in the long cable from receiver to the hut.




Figure 1. Midband data residuals from 2017\_329 to 2018\_043 with 5 physical terms removed.




Figure 2. Midband signature search using 5 physical terms plus signature with  $\tau=7$ .