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The proposed improved ground plane for low band is based on the perforated edge of the Open Area Test Sites (OATS) of the National facilities described in a report by Meng (see memo 203). The proposed design consists of a $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ square with 4 isosceles triangular panels with 5 m base and 5 m height on each side. The overall shape is shown in Figure 1 from the FEKO simulation. The ground plane is made from $485 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ panels of welded wire mesh. 32 panels form the center $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ square. 16 panels are each cut in half and welded back to form the triangles. The design has a total area of $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and is symmetric in the X and Y directions so the antenna orientation can be changed by $90^{\circ}$ without change of the edge diffraction effects.

Figure 2 shows the simulated residuals to a 4-term polynomial fit from 72 to 97 MHz using FEKO's "GF" mode with soil dielectric 3.5 and conductivity $2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$. The top left plot is for the current $9.9 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ground plane. The top right is for an infinite ground plane and the bottom plots are for the proposed ground plane oriented NS and at azimuth $45^{\circ}$. Figure 3 shows the simulated residuals to a 5-term fit from 52 to 97 MHz .

The beam chromaticity which results from the proposed finite ground plane is improved when compared with the current ground plane but is still the major limiting factor when the Galaxy is "up" so that an even larger ground plane would be needed to make use of the method of Galaxy calibration (see memo \#202).

|  |  |  | $52-975 \mathrm{~T}$ |  |  | $72-974 \mathrm{~T}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Square <br> size | $\#$ <br> triangles | Area m $^{2}$ | Max | GHA10 | AV | Max. | GHA10 | AV |
| $10 \times 10$ | 8 | 200 | 340 | 75 | 29 | 65 | 26 | 5 |
| $15 \times 15$ | 12 | 375 | 110 | 60 | 21 | 55 | 12 | 8 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 16 | 600 | 100 | 13 | 11 | 30 | 8 | 2 |
| $25 \times 25$ | 20 | 875 | 61 | 14 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 3 |
| $30 \times 30$ | 24 | 1200 | 65 | 15 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 2 |
| INF | INF | INF | 33 | 8 | 7 | 23 | 6 | 1 |
| $10 \times 10$ | 0 | 100 | 800 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 6 | 44 |

Table 1. Performance vs size. rms residuals in mK .
The table shows the maximum, value at GHA=10, average over all values of rms for 5-polynomial terms removed from 52 to 97 MHz and for 4-polynomial terms removed from 72 to 97 MHz . Above an area of $875 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ there is little improvement. Except for the maximum over the full band,
the rms at GHA $=10^{\mathrm{h}}$ and the rms of the average approach the values of an infinite ground plane. However there is some question of the accuracy of the modeling for the large ground planes although the results are quite stable under changes in mesh size. The current ground plane performs well at GHA $=10^{\mathrm{h}}$ over the limited band. This appears to be fortuitous. While the larger ground planes have lower levels of reflections this structure has more rapid variations with frequency, owing to the larger delay from the edges, which is not removed by a low order polynomial. The perforated edges work by smearing the phases of the reflections and need to be at least half a wavelength deep to be effective.


Figure 1. Proposed low band ground plane.


Figure 2. Simulation of beam effects - see text.


Figure 3. Simulation of beam effects over 52-97 MHz.

