平13-9

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

HAYSTACK OBSERVATORY

WESTFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01886

22 April 1993

Telephone: 508-692-4764 Fax: 617-981-0590

Holographers

From:

To:

Alan E.E. Rogers $A \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{R}$

Subject:

Surface Panel Thermal Characteristics

By irradiating an antenna panel sample with IR I have measured the thermal characteristics. The figure shows the following temperature differences:

1] Average of front and back of panel - ambient room temperature.

- 2] Front back of panel
- 3] Back panel temperature of probe box

vs IR flux. (The IR flux level was calibrated by heating water in a paper cup and measuring the rate of temperature rise.) The flux scale is consistent with an IR absorbtivity of 70% - assuming a panel conductivity of 100 $\text{wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$. On the assumption of an absorbtivity of 70% the heat loss inferred from the panel-ambient is 29 $\text{wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ of which 7 $\text{wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ must be radiative (Stefan-Boltzmann is 5 $\text{wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ per side for perfect emitter) leaving 11 $\text{wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ per side convective loss. How do these measurements relate to the antenna?

Based on the near perfect IR emissivity of the radome, the IR flux from the dome is $5 \text{ wm}^{-2}K^{-1}$ per side

Thus for a 20°C rise in temperature of the radome material the IR flux is $100 \text{ wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ and one might expect a 0.7°C temperature difference across the panels and a further 0.35°C drop in the temperature actually measured by an uninsulated "probe box". The probe boxes on the back side of the antenna being biased 0.5°C low compared with the center of the antenna panel. On a clear night the situation is likely reversed and the probe boxes will now be biased high so that the day/night bias is expected to be about 1°C. Simply insulating the probe boxes should reduce the day/night bias to 0.7°C and averaging probe boxes on both sides of the panels should eliminate the bias altogether.

Distribution:

- J. Ball
- R. Barvainis R. Cady J. Cannon J. Carter

B. Corey

P. Charpentier

A. Haschick R. Ingalls C. Lonsdale S. Milner S. Murray A. Rogers

J. Crowley

J. Salah P. Shute A. Whitney M. Zarghamee



LEMBERATURE DIFFERENCE DEG. C

Panel thermal characteristics E D D

(