# MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY <br> HAYSTACK OBSERVATORY <br> WESTFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01886 

July 25, 2023
Telephone: 617-715-5533
To: EDGES group
From: Alan E.E. Rogers
Subject: Beam chromaticity of EDGES-3 on lunar regolith
The beam chromaticity of an antenna in orbit around the moon was studied in memo 279. In this case the effects of the reflections from the regolith were minimized by keeping the lunar surface far enough away from the antenna so that the lunar surface is in the minimum gain response of the dipole. A satellite orbit at a distance of 960 km from the lunar surface was chosen to obtain an acceptable beam chromaticity while being close enough to block the radio emissions from both the earth and the sun for a reasonable amount of observing time.

In this study the EDGES-3 antenna is on a thin metal ground plane to reduce the effects of the reflections from the rock below the regolith. The main purpose of the study is to determine how large a ground plane is needed to obtain an acceptable beam chromaticity. The results are obtained using FEKO with infinite layers below the ground plane. The parameters of the lunar surface used are the same as those used in memo 279.

| Ground plane size m | Soil dielectric | Soil conductivity S/m | Rock dielectric | Rock conductivity $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{m}$ | Rock depth $m$ | Average rms mK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 x 4 | Free space |  |  |  |  | 1560 |
| 4 x 4 | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 10 | 12000 |
| 16x16 | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 10 | 1027 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 3.0 | 2e-5 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 10 | 1379 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 6 | 1090 |
| $30 \times 30$ | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 10 | 390 |
| $30 \times 30$ | Free space |  |  |  |  | 154 |
| 30x30 | corrected | 2e-4 | 8.5 | 2e-2 | 10 | 360 |
| Cone 2 m | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 10 | 27000 |
| Cone 8m | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | 2e-2 | 10 | 16950 |
| Cone 16m | 3.0 | 2e-4 | 8.5 | 2e-2 | 10 | 16525 |

Table 1 Beam chromaticity vs ground plane size for EDGES-3 on the moon $55-100 \mathrm{MHz}$ :
The sky coverage used for the simulations was that at the MRO using 24 1-hour blocks with 5 -terms removed $55-100 \mathrm{MHz}$. The ground plane is a square metal sheet with the antenna in the center oriented along the diagonal. The $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~m}$ took 3-days to run. The Haslam map scaled with a spectral index of -2.55 was used in the convolution of the beam to obtain the chromaticity.

Figure 1 shows the rms residuals with 5-terms removed for each GHA of the sky. Figure 2 shows that a grid search on the average of simulated data over all GHA gets a best fit absorption close to the EDGES 2018 result. However it turns out in a limited observing session it will not be possible get data over 24 hours of GHA and I find that $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~m}$ ground plane is not large enough to get a result and
assuming that we need a factor of 3 decrease in the chromaticity to get to about 100 mK and I estimate that this will take a $60 \times 60 \mathrm{~m}$ or larger ground plane. The reason 24 hours helps is that beam effects tend to have opposite effects 180 degrees apart so that averaging data 12 hours apart tends to cancel out some of the chromaticity. Figure 3 shows that without averaging 24 hours of GHA over the sky the systematics from beam chromaticity are so large that a $21-\mathrm{cm}$ absorption at the level of the EDGES result would not be detectable.

A small improvement in the effect of the chromaticity can be made by correcting the data with the free space beam is shown in the last entry of Table 1 labeled "corrected".

Simulations were made of a cone over a circular ground planes with 28 and 16 m in diameters but these all have a very large beam chromaticity. Vertically polarized antennas on soil require an extremely large ground plane as discussed in memo 288 and are probably only a reasonable option on the large lake on the earth or in orbit around the moon as modeled in memo 279.

Some additional simulations were made for a 48 x 48 m ground plane like that at the MRO and the results are given in Table 2 below:

| Ground plane | Soil | Soil conductivity |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| size | dielectric | S/m | Rock | Rock conductivityRock depth Average rms |  |  |
| dielectric | S $/ \mathrm{m}$ | m | mK |  |  |  |
| $48 \times 48$ | 3.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 20 | 188 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 3.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 40 | 74 |
| $48 \times 48$ | 3.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 8.5 | $2 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 40 | 152 |

Table 2 Beam chromaticity of $48 \times 48 \mathrm{~m}$ ground plane for EDGES- 3 on the moon $55-100 \mathrm{MHz}$
where the average rms is for 1 hour block over all GHA for the Sky coverage at the MRO. A 48 x 48 m ground plane a soil depth of 40 m along with soil conductivity of $2 \mathrm{e}-4 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$ or higher would be needed to achieve an acceptable beam chromaticity without needing a larger ground plane.

I also simulated an antenna of half the size of EDGES- 3 which is "electrically small" on a $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ ground plane and got an average rms of 9.6 K compared with 12 K in Table 1 for the full size antenna for a 10 m regolith.

avrms 0.3904

Figure 1. Residuals vs GHA for 30x30m ground plane with 5-polynomial terms removed

freq 77.7 snr 36.6 sig 0.73 wid 18.10 tau 7 rmsin $0.1173 \mathrm{rms} 0.032755-99$

Figure 2. Grid search with EDGES 2018 absorption added to Haslam map

freq 75.4 snr 14.0 sig 0.76 wid 16.80 tau 7 rmsin $0.1465 \mathrm{rms} 0.088655-99$

Figure 3. Same as figure 2 but with GHA over a range of 3 to 20 hrs.

