#### Current + upcoming radio surveys & what they can teach us about

# The wide diversity of stellar explosions

#### **Dillon Dong**

Jansky Fellow, NRAO Socorro; ddong@nrao.edu

A long long time ago in a galaxy far, far away...

A (kind of) long time ago in a galaxy (not so) far away...



There was a massive star

# A (kind of) long time ago in a galaxy (not so) far away...



Betelgeuse

Red supergiant M ~ 17 M⊙ M ~ 5 x 10<sup>-6</sup> M⊙ yr<sup>-1</sup> ~ 10<sup>8</sup> M⊙

### There was a massive star Blowing the wind of ~100 million suns

Humphreys & Jones 2021

### No wait, it was that other massive star...

Zoomed out ~4000x



VY CMa Red supergiant

M ~ 17 M⊙ ~ 1M<sub>Betelgeuse</sub>

 $\dot{M} \sim 6 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ~100  $\dot{M}_{Betelgeuse}$ 

#### Blowing the wind of ~100 million ~10 billion suns

Humphreys & Jones 2021

Or actually, there were two massive stars... ...whose winds collided as they orbited



Anguita-Aguero+2022

#### Or maybe it was an eruption not a wind...

η Car Zoomed out ~25x

...that ejected several times the mass of the sun in just a few years (~ $10^{4-5}$   $\dot{M}_{Betelgeuse}$ )

Smith+2018

### Or... it could have been two [not so] massive [not quite] stars



#### That really didn't have much of a wind at all

### Or, perhaps even one massive star + one compact object



Creating a spiral from Roche lobe overflow at a rate up to ~10<sup>4</sup> M<sub>Betelgeuse</sub>

Schroder+2020, Dong+2021, see also Mohamed+07

# These incredibly diverse systems are all thought to be stellar explosion progenitors



How can we connect explosions to progenitors?

How do these explosions influence their environment & future generations of stars?















Heywood+22

How do these explosions influence their environment & future generations of stars?



Zucker+22

Most of what we historically know about supernovae is based on optical observations

### In the optical, we've detected ~104 supernovae









So we're sensitive to explosions as rare as ~1 in 10,000

[aside from systematic sample bias]

### "Regular" optical supernovae peak at ~weeks to months; their peak luminosities span ~2-3 orders of magnitude













Radio observations are ramping up quickly

### In the radio we've detected O(10<sup>2</sup>) supernovae



Follow up observations

91 detections 234 observations

Bietenholz+20

# Follow-up radio supernovae peak at ~days to years; their peak luminosities span >5 orders of magnitude



# The late peaks probe mass loss up to ~an order of magnitude earlier





# A growing number of radio supernovae detected in all-sky surveys





Follow up observations

Serendipitous detections in surveys

91 detections 234 observations

19 detections in VLASS

Bietenholz+20

Stroh+21

More in other surveys?



### In the radio we've detected O(10<sup>2</sup>) supernovae





Follow up observations

Serendipitous detections in surveys Direct detection in surveys

91 detections 234 observations

Bietenholz+20

+19 detections in VLASS

~a few dozen to 100 in VLASS

Stroh+21

Dong et al. in prep

More in other surveys?

More in other surveys?



Radio supernovae probe 1-2 orders of magnitude later timescales than optical supernovae

> This extends our probe of presupernova mass loss to earlier times

Radio supernovae probe 1-2 orders of magnitude later timescales than optical supernovae

> This extends our probe of presupernova mass loss by a similar factor

But what about the other axis?

What determines a supernova's radio luminosity?

This might be best illustrated with supernova \*remnants\*



Rothenflug+04



#### Type Ia SN 1006 (d = 1.9 kpc) Integrated flux 19 Jy

Rothenflug+04



Type Ia SN 1006 (d = 1.9 kpc) Integrated flux 19 Jy

Equivalent to 1.7mJy/beam



Type Iax SN 1181 (d = 2.3 kpc) Integrated flux < 0.6mJy

< 14uJy / beam





# What determines a SNR's radio luminosity?

# Radio emission from supernovae is mostly synchrotron emission from the forward shock





#### MAGNETIC FIELD AMPLIFICATION





### The radio luminosity is directly determined by (1) emitting region volume, (2) electron density, (3) magnetic field strength



Slightly modified from Chevalier (1998)

In the optically thin limit for a simplified geometry

See Sarbadhicary+17 for a more general equation that includes synchrotron self-absorption

The supernova remnant's size/velocity is determined by the energy, ejecta mass (profile), and CSM density



## Ingredient #1:

the volume of the emitting region

$$r_s(t) = (1.3 \,\mathrm{pc}) \; t_{100}^{0.7} \; n_\circ^{-0.1} \; \mathrm{E}_{51}^{0.35} \; \mathrm{M}_{ej}^{-0.25}$$

 $v_s(t) = (8800\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}) \ t_{100}^{-0.3} \ n_\circ^{-0.1} \ {\rm E}_{51}^{0.35} \ {\rm M}_{ej}^{-0.25}$ 

# The particles are accelerated in a power law energy distribution

$$N(E) = N_0 E^{-p}$$

p is related to the radio spectral index α by

a = (p-1)/2

Number density per energy of relativistic electrons

[erg/cm<sup>3</sup>]

the number density (and spectral index) of relativistic electrons

$$N_0 = (p-2) \ \epsilon_e \ \rho_0 v_s^2 \ E_m^{p-2}$$

The relativistic particles create streaming instabilities ahead of the shock.

This accelerates the magnetic field to a saturation level that depends on the shock's energy, velocity, particle acceleration efficiency & (sometimes) initial magnetic field





Fraction of shock energy in B field

Sarbadhicary+17

Particle acceleration efficiency

Duffell +18

The amplification is uncertain, but a reasonable analytic description is:



 $M_A = v_s/v_A \quad v_A = B_0/\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0},$ 

Can use the above to create lightcurves for SNRs Fiducial model for regular Type las • n= 0.1 cm<sup>-3</sup> • E = 1e51 erg • M = 1.4 solar masses **Fiducial model for** 

SN 1181

- n= 0.1 cm<sup>-3</sup>
- E = 1e48 erg
- M = 0.1 solar masses





Age (years)

# Lowering ejecta mass *increases* the radio luminosity



So that's not the reason...

### Decreasing the energy \*sharply\* decreases the luminosity



# Decreasing density also significantly lowers luminosity



A supernova / SNR's *unabsorbed* Iuminosity is set by



When you include absorption, there is also

Emitting region geometry

#### Example: free-free absorption



#### Very dense spherical CSM absorbs all internal emission



But you can get around this with the power of geometry!

Low density, already hot from being shocked

> High density, slow shock, strong radio emitter

Low density, already hot from being shocked





strong radio emitter



#### And maybe even



### Let's go back to the parameter space now

#### Where do different types of stellar explosions live?

How can we find them with present+future observations?

#### Relativistic jets













#### Next-gen facilities + Multi-wavelength searches



